Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/084,381	MAKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara J. Musser	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Barbara J. Musser</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Terrence Brown</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 February 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: all		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a we record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant faxed a copy of the declaration showing unexpected results for examiner's review to expedite processing and asked examiner to make minor changes to the specification. While portions of words on the declaration were missing, examiner indicated applicant did not have to file a new copy of the declaration as the correct words were clear from the context. .